

# TURNING INDUSTRIAL WASTE GASES INTO VALUABLE POLYURETHANES

25 March 2021

Dr. Liv Adler, Coordinator Carbon4PUR Covestro Deutschland AG

Carbon4FUR

EUROPEAN RESEARCH COLLABORATION BETWEEN STEEL AND CHEMICAL INDUSTRY

# CHALLENGES: Saving our fossil resources Reducing the greenhouse effect







### Solution: Using CO<sub>2</sub> instead of oil

A harmful climate gas as useful raw material

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions hardly to be completely prevented

#### BUT:

CO<sub>2</sub> is low-energy & sluggish in reaction Using CO<sub>2</sub> is technically very challenging

Covestro and its partners have developed a completely new process for the ecologically and economically sensible use of CO<sub>2</sub>





3





### Scientific breakthrough via catalysis

Hurdle for CO<sub>2</sub> use overcome

Energy content



#### **Challenge:**

 CO<sub>2</sub> activation normally requires a lot of energy which is ecologically inefficient

#### Solution:

- Development of an appropriate catalyst and process for ecologically and economically efficient reaction
- Start at higher energy level:
   Use CO from a renewable source
- Recovered carbon by industrial symbiosis between steel & chemical industry







### **Conventional Steel & PUR production**

Based on fossil resources and emitting CO<sub>2</sub>





5





### **Conventional Steel & PUR production**

Based on fossil resources and emitting CO<sub>2</sub>





6





### Carbon4PUR CO/CO<sub>2</sub> technology

Recycling of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> reduces emissions and need for fossil resources





7





### Collaboration along the Value Chain

14 Partners from 7 countries, supported by the EU with 7.8 m€, 10/2017 – 03/2021

CO/CO <sub>2</sub>	INTERMEDIATES & POL	PRODUCTS & APPLICATION	
Steel industry	Chemical – Polyc	Polymer industry	
SteelSteel mill gasproductiontreatment	Catalyst Process design design	Upscaling	Insulation boards & Coatings
Arcelor Mittal	Ceel Cetalytic Center®		RECTICEL The paulien for comfort





8





### Pieces of a big puzzle

All work packages in parallel to accelerate the overall project







9





### STEEL PRODUCTION Eric de Coninck







### Current situation of production





11





### New situation of production = CCU





12



### AM decarbonation plan:



-30% by 2030, carbon neutrality by 2050



#### Smart Carbon Usage





13





### STEEL MILL GAS TREATMENT Mark Saeys









15

These project have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 768919. Disclaimer: The information contained in this document has been prepared solely for the purpose of providing information about the Carbon4PUR project. The document reflects only the Carbon4PUR consortium's view and the EC is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.





ΔG<sub>298K</sub>=+29 kJ/mol & ΔH<sub>298K</sub>=+41 kJ/mol



16

These project have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 768919. Disclaimer: The information contained in this document has been prepared solely for the purpose of providing information about the Carbon4PUR project. The document reflects only the Carbon4PUR consortium's view and the EC is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.







17

These project have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 768919. Disclaimer: The information contained in this document has been prepared solely for the purpose of providing information about the Carbon4PUR project. The document reflects only the Carbon4PUR consortium's view and the EC is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.





- Demonstrated at lab scale (TRL 3).
- Swinging the solids' temperature leads to longer cycles.

- Only exists in the computer.
- Potentially requires shorter cycles at slightly lower temperatures.



18

These project have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 768919. Disclaimer: The information contained in this document has been prepared solely for the purpose of providing information about the Carbon4PUR project. The document reflects only the Carbon4PUR consortium's view and the EC is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.





### CATALYST & PROCESS DESIGN – UPSCALING

Martin Machat







### Carbon4PUR process overview

Utilizing CO/CO<sub>2</sub>-containing steel mill gas for selective chemical transformations



#### > Four different process scenarios determined for in-depth TEA and LCA analysis



20





### CO<sub>2</sub> and CO conversion technologies

Combining two technologies of different technological readiness levels



**Carbon4PUR**: Development of an emerging academic technology towards technological readiness for industrial use



21

industrial technology towards the use of CO<sub>2</sub>containing gas mixtures instead of pure CO<sub>2</sub>





### Upscaling of the CO-polyol production

Parallel development to speed up the innovation process

#### 10/2018



Polyol development with carbonylated "CO-intermediate"



g-scale carbonylation



kg-scale carbonylation



**CO-intermediate** 



CO-containing polyol and rigid foam

Polyol development with commercially obtained "CO-intermediate"



g-scale polyol synthesis



kg-scale polyol synthesis



pilot plant polyol synthesis

# Delivered to



22





### INSULATION BOARDS Geert Snellings







#### Thermal insulation based on rigid polyurethane foams:

- **Polyurethanes** (PUR or PIR) are the most efficient thermal insulation materials with **lowest thermal conductivity**, leading to thinner applications
- Thermal insulation solutions for building renovations and new construction make a significant **contribution to a low-carbon society**:
  - In 2020, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions avoided by our insulation solutions offset more than 46 times the carbon footprint of all Recticel activities combined
  - Structurally growing market for thermal insulation in Europe
    - Driven by the EU regulation aiming to save energy and reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- R&D focus on **sustainable innovations** uncovering new solutions for the **circular economy**, including **more lower-carbon raw materials**





24









25





How are polyurethane foams made?





26





How are polyurethane foams made?





27





How are polyurethane foams made?





28





Thermal insulation boards based on polyurethane rigid foams:

- 1 Carbon4PUR polyol selected to do further formulation adaptations on small scale (lab) first in order to overcome processing issues and to obtain required properties, such as thermal conductivity
  - Finally up to 70 parts of reference polyol could be replaced in the formulation!
- Transfer from handmix to semi-industrial scale to produce flex faced insulation boards:





29





#### Thermal insulation boards based on polyurethane rigid foams:

		Carbon4F	PUR polyol	
Sample Property	Reference	60%	70%	
Compressive strength				
Tensile strength				
Dimensional stability				
Under extreme conditions				
Flammability				
Thermal conductivity				
Normality				
Water absorption				THE O

: slightly worse than reference but still within specifications

# Final result = pilot scale rigid foam fulfilling rigid foam specifications partially made with polyols based on the **Carbon4PUR** technology.



30





### WATERBORNE PUDs FOR COATINGS Poppy Krassa







### Market Potential of PUDs

- European PUDs market expected to reach
   ~USD 7 bn by the end of 2030. Increasing penetration in paints and coatings.
- Steady shift to waterborne PUDs due to environmental considerations.
- PUDs meet low-carbon economy and environmental protection requirements.
- Growing demand from industries such as furniture, automotive and wood flooring coatings.
- Potential challenges include the higher cost compared to acrylic emulsions and of new green polyols.



https://www.transparencymarketresearch.com/europe-polyurethane-dispersions-market.html



32





### Polyurethane dispersions (PUDs)





33



# The transition from lab to pilot and semi-industrial scale







34



# Comparison of Carbon4PUR PUDs vs benchmark dispersions



Ţ	ype of Polyol	Film Hardness	Scratch Resistance	Chemical Resistance	Cross-cut adhesion	Blocking Resistance
Benchmark	Polyether	5B	1	2	5	5
	Polycarbonate	5B	4	4	5	5
Carbon4PUR	CO <sub>2</sub> -polyol	5B	2	2	5	5
	CO-based polyol	5B	2	3	5	5

#### Very good application characteristics:

- 🗸 Rapid hardness development & High substrate coverage
- Good chemical and stain resistance
- 🗸 Low to medium scratch resistance
- High thermal stability / low yellowing & storage stability (> 6 months)
- S Excellent cross-cut adhesion & blocking resistance
- C Excellent high gloss potential and gloss retention
- Technical characteristics close to the market standards in the wood application area
   Low VOC
  - Improved environmental profile compared to traditional fossil-based PUD coatings



35





### INDUSTRIAL SYMBIOSIS ANALYSIS Arturo Castillo







### Explore further CO/CO<sub>2</sub> reuse

Public sector; Investors & project developers; Industrial parks; Researchers

Available at: <u>https://www.carbon4pur.eu/public-documents/mapping-tool/</u>





37





### Identify options

Providing geographical data and guidance

- I. Define emissions and uptake preconditions (*Hard criteria*)
- II. Locate potential sites
- III. Assess regional conditions, e.g. funding and support institutions (*Semi-hard criteria*)
- IV. Assess non-technical, non-regulatory parameters e.g. acceptance (Soft criteria)



38





### Example: Explore steel mills

Sources, radius, uptake, prepare for due diligence





39





### INDUSTRIAL SYMBIOSIS ANALYSIS Sylvain Pichon





# Pathways scenario studied, managing flexibility and complexity ...





### **ISSUES TO OPTIMIZE:**

- Legal
- Technical
- Envrionmental
- Economic
- Symbiosis



41





### Pathways comparison

Pathways	Pathway 1 (direct)	Pathway 2 (North & under the dock)	Pathway 3 (North & SNCF bridge)	Pathway 4 (East)
Advantages	<ul> <li>Shortest pathway</li> <li>Possible synergy: passing other pipes under the dock</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Existing pipeline corridor</li> <li>Middle length pathway</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Existing pipeline corridor</li> <li>Middle length pathway</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Existing pipeline corridor</li> <li>Few environmental issues</li> <li>Few technical constraints</li> <li>Possible synergy with Air Liquide</li> </ul>
Disadvantages	<ul> <li>Technical issues: dock</li> <li>No existing pipeline corridor</li> <li>High price/km</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local urban plan: prohibition to lay pipelines</li> <li>Environmental issue zone: wetland</li> <li>Security distance with gas pipe</li> <li>Uncertainty about the planning &amp; administrative authorizations &amp; costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local urban plan: prohibition to lay pipelines</li> <li>Environmental issue zone: wetland</li> <li>SNCF Bridge: Impossible to put the pipe on the bridge and no more space under</li> </ul> 8. 4 selected	<ul> <li>Longest pathway</li> <li>Costs</li> </ul>



42



# Marseille-Fos: C and $CO_2$ issues industrial symbiosis for value creation ...



- Environmental and social acceptability
- Sustainability issues for established industries
- Attractiveness challenges of the port
- Exemplarity, image and international influence

... The port of Marseille is involved in projects directly related to the capture, recovery and  $CO_2$  storage :

Jupiter 1000, Carbon4PUR, VASCO, Southern Lights, GREEN IMPACTS (Green Deal call response), ...

... and looks forwards to NEW industrial symbiosis opportunities:

Bio chemicals, conversion into methanol, etc. ...

### > 10MT of CO<sub>2</sub>/y





43





### ECONOMIC ANALYSIS Jason Collis







### Techno-economic assessment of novel process

#### Structure of a TEA





45





### **Scenarios Analysed**





46



### Cost of goods manufactured Production cost







47





### **Net Present Value**





48





# LIFE-CYCLE-ASSESSMENT

### Jeroen Guinee







### Life cycle assessment in a nutshell





• Assessment of the environmental impacts of a product *system* over its entire *life cycle* 



50





### LCA: systems compared

### **BASELINE SYSTEM**



\* Grey parts are excluded as they are the same for both systems \*\* quantity of BFG used for polyols production CARBON4PUR



\* Assuming polyols store the same amount of C



51







Savings for each scenario









### Scenario 3 results for selected impacts

### TAKE AWAY MESSAGES<sup>1</sup>

based on today's knowledge –

- Scenario 3 most promising
- Estimated impact reductions between 5 - 15 % for most impact categories
  - up to 10% for climate change by integrating just up to 20 %  $CO/CO_2$
- Results represent a low TRL
  - higher TRLs may show better environmental performance when integrating higher  $CO/CO_2$ levels
  - however, filling data gaps may ٠ also lower expectations





These project have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 768919. Disclaimer: The information contained in this document has been prepared solely for the purpose of providing information about the Carbon4PUR project. The document reflects only the Carbon4PUR consortium's view and the EC is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.



53



### ACCEPTANCE Lisanne Simons







### What did we set out to do?

#### Understanding the acceptance of CCU insulation boards





55





### How did we approach this goal?





56





### What did we find?

The acceptance of CCU insulation boards





57





### What did we find?

The acceptance of CCU insulation boards

German (n = 331) Outch (n = 312)







Want to learn more about acceptance research?





### Visit our YouTube channel

Chair for communication science, RWTH Aachen university





59





SOCIAL ANALYSIS

### Francisco Koch







### Current policy and regulatory framework

Does it support Carbon4PUR's upscaling?

- Strong policy push (European Green Deal) to support CCU
  - ✓ CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation
  - ✓ Resource efficiency
- Planned regulatory actions to stimulate demand for CCU derived products as part of the Circular Economy Action Plan



Greater regulatory framework **alignment** with EU Green Deal objectives still needed:

- Fit for purpose: Storing CO<sub>2</sub> underground (CCS) and using CO<sub>2</sub> to produce a more sustainable product (CCU) are not the same. Regulatory framework needs to reflect this
- Two key regulatory hot spots
  - Monetising CO<sub>2</sub> emissions avoided
  - Transport infrastructure development



61





### Policy and regulatory hotspots

Monetising CO<sub>2</sub> avoided to stimulated investment in CCU



 Large industrial, hard to abate emitters could reduce their CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by using CO<sub>2</sub>/CO to produce chemicals instead of using fossil-based feedstocks



- But ... still must pay for the CO<sub>2</sub> as if it had been emitted
- EU ETS does have the GHG accounting tools needed to address key issues



62





### Policy and regulatory hotspots

Enabling transport infrastructure

## Current regulatory framework still too CCS centered

- CCS directive: large CO<sub>2</sub> transport infrastructure for underground geo storage – not for use as a chemical feedstock.
- TEN-E: address barriers that slow / prevent the development of EU wide energy transport infrastructures (CCS included), including:
  - complex and time-consuming permitting process to build pipelines and
  - delays caused by lack of public acceptance, amongst others.



#### Permitting often poses a problem

- Need to narrow the CCU awareness gap within Europe:
- General public, NGOs, local Govt
- CO<sub>2</sub> and CO can be transported in a safe manner, despite CO being both flammable and toxic
- Building on experience and communicating in a transparent manner
- Targeted, structured and systematic approach to dispel HSE concerns and "sell" CCU is warranted
- Collaborative effort supported by the EC as an European Green Deal policy goal enabler



63





### Is it all worthy?

Carbon4PUR offers a "social return on investment"

- Job preservation at industrial installations
- Employment in innovative industrial CCU/ symbiosis (100 +), that:
  - converts CO<sub>2</sub>/CO into high value chemicals (polyols)
  - ✓ avoids CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (10%)
  - saves natural resources + reduces associated environmental / biological impact (up to 20%)
- Leverages additional investment (approx. EUR 5 MM/ EUR 1 MM invested)
- Enables technology transfer within Europe, through partnerships, research and investments.
- Position the EU as a front runner in innovative CCU technology

() = Estimated impact at FOS



**Genuinely more** 



64





After 3.5 years most Puzzle Pieces are linked





65





After 3.5 years most Puzzle Pieces are linked



- Selective combustion developed from TRL2→6 and tested with BFG at steel plant in Gent
- 90% CO possible from BFG by new gas technology pressure swing chemical looping
- Potential industrial symbiosis investigated by engineering study in Marseille-Fos







After 3.5 years most Puzzle Pieces are linked



- Proof of principle of CO- & CO<sub>2</sub>-based polyol-production with mixed gases at lab scale
- 14% of CO<sub>2</sub> or 27% of CO bound in polyol (supporting EC resource efficiency goals)
- Proof of principle of CO-based rigid foam
- Production of polyols based on comm. intermediate upscaled to 400 kg: TRL3→6



67





After 3.5 years most Puzzle Pieces are linked



- New polyols successfully implemented on a semi-industrial scale in rigid foams for insulation boards and in waterborne polyurethane dispersions for wood coatings
- LCA showed 10% environmental impact reductions for most environmental indicators
- Reductions of up to 90 kt/a CO<sub>2</sub>-eq. per 150 kt/a polyol produced possible



These project have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 768919. Disclaimer: The information contained in this document has been prepared solely for the purpose of providing information about the Carbon4PUR project. The document reflects only the Carbon4PUR consortium's view and the EC is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.



68



After 3.5 years most Puzzle Pieces are linked



- Techno-economic assessment showed lowest production costs for holistic process producing CO<sub>2</sub>-based PC and CO-based PES in parallel with increasing CO content
- Further development on sustainable CO needed
- Acceptance study revealed that a generally positive attitude emerges, when end-users receive information on CCU → Raise awareness of new technologies



69





After 3.5 years most Puzzle Pieces are linked



- Social Return on Investment (Fos, France): 100+ employed, and
- High investment leverage potential: EUR 5M / EUR 1M invested
- Carbon4PUR contributes to EU Green Deal climate and resource efficiency & transition to circular economy goals
- Current regulatory framework does not incentivise the replication of CCU technology



70



# 3.5 Years of Carbon4PUR – Thank you!

Website www.carbon4pur.eu

Follow @carbon4pur on Twitter and LinkedIn



